

MEETING NOTES

**SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY
Joint RCG Meeting**

February 12, 2013

Final KDM 03-29-13

ATTENDEES:

Steve Summer (SCANA)	Bill Stangler (Congaree Riverkeeper)
Milton Quattlebaum (SCANA)	Rusty Wenerick (SCDHEC)
Frank Henning (Congaree National Park NPS)	Prescott Brownell (NOAA)
Hal Beard (SCDNR)	Bill Marshall (SCDNR)
Dick Christie (SCDNR)	Jon Sherer (City of Columbia)
Charlene Coleman (American Whitewater)	Randy Mahan (SCANA)
Robert Stroud (SCDNR)	Tommy Boozer (SCE&G)
David Hancock (SCE&G)	Bill Argentieri (SCE&G)
Mark Caldwell (USFWS)	Ray Ammarell (SCE&G)
Malcolm Leaphart (Congaree Riverkeeper)	Mike Summer (SCE&G)
Ron Ahle (SCDNR)	Alan Stuart (Kleinschmidt)
Rebekah Dobrasko (SHPO)	Kelly Miller (Kleinschmidt)
Tom McCoy (USFWS)	Shane Boring (Kleinschmidt)
Pace Wilber (NOAA)	Gerrit Jobsis (American Rivers)
Joseph Wojcicki (By-PAS)	Fritz Rohde (NOAA) via Conf. Call
Karla Reece (NOAA) via Conf. Call	

These notes serve to be a summary of the major points presented during the meeting and are not intended to be a transcript or analysis of the meeting.

Alan opens the meeting with introductions and a quick overview of the agenda. The group then begins reviewing and editing the Operating Procedures Document, which was distributed to everyone prior to the meeting. Pace asks if the agencies need to sign the document once it is finalized and Alan answers no.

Pace begins the edits by suggesting that since many people from the general public will be reading this document, a paragraph needs to be included on how this agreement fits into the overall licensing process. Also he suggests that a section is added to the Operating Procedures that includes mandates from all agencies involved, as well as an explanation of the mandates for the public. Alan asks the agency representatives at the meeting to provide these mandates for inclusion in the document. During this discussion, the idea of posting links to the agency and stakeholder websites on the Parr Relicensing website is brought up. Alan and Bill agree that this is fine and that Kleinschmidt will post the links when the Parr website is complete.

A discussion on the involvement of social media occurs when this section in the document is reached. The group decides that social media is okay for some uses but not others, and should reflect only the opinion of the group being represented and not as a way to speak for others. The acceptable uses of social media are clearly defined in the Operating Procedures. Also, a mission statement for the Parr Fairfield Relicensing Group is developed for inclusion in the document.

Mark asks for clarification on the term “individuals” that are to be included in the Parr Fairfield Relicensing Group. This is explained that individuals include the public at large. It is also asked what the difference is between Resource Conservation Groups and Technical Working Committees. Dick explains that they are basically one in the same, with an RCG being a larger parent group to various TWCs, providing an opportunity for people to become involved that may not have the time or technical experience to be involved in a TWC. Pace also asks for clarification on who has the responsibility of keeping FERC updated on the relicensing process. Bill answers that SCE&G is responsible for this and Alan explains how FERC requires updates to be filed by the applicant every quarter.

When the subject of confidentiality agreements is reached within the document, Pace states that their organization will need to have their lawyer look at any agreement prior to signing, and notes that time needs to be a consideration with this. Pace refers Randy to Mike Mastry as a contact for these situations.

A few other notes during discussion of the Operating Procedures include; standardization is needed of the terms “stakeholders” and “participants” within the document; “compromise” and “consensus” need to be clarified; and the term “team” should be replaced by PFRG, RCG and TWC where appropriate.

Randy reminds the group that there is no authorship to the Operating Procedures document, and that it belongs to the whole group, not just SCE&G. The document is agreed upon by everyone in attendance, and after the mandates are received and incorporated, it will be finalized and distributed to the group, as well as be posted to the project website.

Alan reiterates to the group that support of the agencies and stakeholders is crucial in FERC allowing SCE&G to use the enhanced traditional licensing process. Although this won't be necessary until the NOI is filed, it is important for everyone to keep in mind that this concurrence is essential.

Bill also informs the group that future meetings may be located closer to the project, within Newberry and/or Fairfield Counties. Several people question the reasoning for this, especially with TWC meetings, since public attendance is very rare, if at all. Bill says future meeting sites are still being determined and he will keep everyone updated on this issue.

Alan then gives the group an overview of the Public Meetings that were held in January in Newberry County and Fairfield County. Gerrit asks if dates are set for the filing milestone documents throughout the process. While there are planned dates for submitting these documents, actual dates may vary slightly. However, deadlines for the filing of each document do occur and are specified by FERC.

With this, the meeting is adjourned. Action items stemming from this meeting are included below.

ACTION ITEMS:

- All agencies need to submit a mandate for inclusion in the final Operating Procedures Document.
- Links to agency and stakeholder websites will be listed on the Parr Fairfield Relicensing website.
- Kelly will begin including the time and meeting locations on the distributed agendas.
- Kelly will provide Gerrit with an attendance list from the Public Meetings.